

GREENWHEAT FREEKEH **Food in Asia PROJECT**

CSIRO
Health Sciences and Nutrition

A report for Greenwheat Freekeh™ Pty Ltd

Evaluation of the Glycaemic Index, Glycaemic Load and Insulin Response of Wholegrain and Cracked Wheat Freekeh™

Authors:

Manny Noakes BSc Dip Nut & Diet PhD

Peter Clifton FRACP PhD

Peter Royle B Biomed Sc

David Topping PhD FTSE

(June 2003)



Page 17 of 55

CSIRO HEALTH SCIENCES & NUTRITION
COMMERCIAL – IN – CONFIDENCE

respectively. The difference between Cracked freekeh™ and Wholegrain freekeh™ was statistically significant (P=0.049).

6. SUMMARY

Both Freekeh™ products fall in the “low GI” category. Wholegrain freekeh™ had the lowest GI at 43 units while the Cracked freekeh™ had a GI of 55 units. These figures are lower than published values for similar products such as couscous (GI=65), calrose rice (GI=83) and basmati rice (GI=58).

The insulin response to both Freekeh™ products was also exceptionally favourable with a pronounced suppression of insulin release relative to glucose by 64-72%.

A low GI together with a low insulin response to ingestion of both Freekeh™ products suggests that they may be helpful in the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes.

7. REFERENCES

1. Augustin LS et al. (2002) Glycaemic index in chronic disease: a review. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **56**: 1049.
2. Foster-Powell, K et al. (2002) International table of glycaemic index and glycaemic load values. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**: 5 2002.
3. Wolever, TM et al. (1991) The glycemic index: methodology and clinical implications. *Am J Clin Nutr* **54**: 846.
4. <http://www.gisymbol.com.au/pages/index.asp>. Accessed 13th June 2003
5. McCleary, BV et al. (1994) Quantitative measurement of total starch in cereal flours and products. *J Cereal Science* **20**: 51.

8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to gratefully acknowledge the contributions of Kathryn Lawrence and Anne McGuffin in recruiting the volunteers and scheduling the study, Jennifer Keogh for the development and implementation of the study protocol, Rosemary McArthur for managing the nursing component of the study and Cherie Keatch and Candita Sullivan for the laboratory analyses.